When comparing student achievement across countries, it is important that the comparisons be as "fair" as possible. TIMSS has worked towards this goal in a number of ways, including providing detailed procedures for standardizing the population definitions, sampling, test translations, test administration, scoring, and database formation. Developing the TIMSS tests involved the interaction of experts in the sciences with representatives of the participating countries and testing specialists. ${ }^{1}$ The National Research Coordinators (NRCs) from each country formally approved the TIMSS test, thus accepting it as being sufficiently fair to compare their students' science achievement with that of students from other countries.

Although the TIMSS test was developed to represent a set of agreed-upon science content areas, there are differences among the curricula of participating countries that result in various science topics being taught at different grades. To restrict test items not only to those topics in the curricula of all countries but also to those covered in the same sequence in all participating countries would severely limit test coverage and restrict the research questions about international differences that TIMSS is designed to address. The TIMSS tests, therefore, inevitably contain some items measuring topics unfamiliar to some students in some countries.

The Test-Curriculum Matching Analysis (TCMA) was developed and conducted to investigate the appropriateness of the TIMSS science test for third- and fourth-grade students in the participating countries, and to show how student performance for individual countries varied when based only on the test questions that were judged to be relevant to their own curriculum. ${ }^{2}$

To gather data about the extent to which the TIMSS tests were relevant to the curriculum of the participating countries, TIMSS asked the NRC of each country to report whether or not each item was in their country's intended curriculum at each of the two grades being tested. The NRC was asked to choose a person or persons who were very familiar with the curricula at the grades being tested to make the determination. Since an item might be in the curriculum for some but not all students in a country, an item was determined appropriate if it was in the intended curriculum for more than $50 \%$ of the students. The NRCs had considerable flexibility in selecting items and may have considered items inappropriate for other reasons. All participating countries except Austria and Thailand returned the information for analysis.

[^0]Tables B. 1 and B. 2 present the TCMA results for the fourth and third grades, respectively. The first row of each table indicates that at both grades the countries varied substantially in the number of items considered appropriate. At the fourth grade, half of the countries indicated that items representing two-thirds or more of the score points ( 70 out of a possible 105) were appropriate, ${ }^{3}$ with the percentage ranging from $100 \%$ in the United States to approximately $25 \%$ in Korea ( 25 score points) and Japan ( 29 score points). Fewer items were selected at the third grade, where about one-third of the countries selected at least half of the score points. All items were selected at the third grade as well as the fourth grade in the United States. At the third grade there were also several countries, including Ireland, Korea, and Japan, that retained less than $20 \%$ of the score points. That lower percentages of items were selected for the TCMA at the third grade is consistent with the instrument development process, which put more emphasis on the upper-grade curriculum. The low percentage of items considered appropriate for their curricula in several countries implies that science may not be emphasized at these grades by those countries.

Since most countries indicated that some items were not included in their intended curricula at the two grades tested, the question becomes whether the inclusion of these items had any effect on the international performance comparisons. ${ }^{4}$ The TCMA results offer a method for answering this question, providing evidence that the relative standings of countries generally do not vary much for the different sets of items selected from the TIMSS science test.

The first column in Tables B. 1 and B. 2 shows the overall average percent correct for each country (as discussed in Chapter 2 and reproduced here for convenience in making comparisons). The countries are presented in the order of their overall performance, from highest to lowest. To interpret these tables, reading across a row provides the average percent correct for the students in that country on the items selected by each country listed across the top of the table. For example, at the fourth grade Korea, where the average percent correct was $77 \%$ on its own set of items, had $79 \%$ for the items selected by Japan, $78 \%$ for those selected by the Netherlands, $74 \%$ for those selected by Australia, and so forth. The column for a country shows how each of the other countries performed on the subset of items selected for its own students. Using the set of items selected by Hong Kong as an example, on average, $81 \%$ of these were answered correctly by the Korean students, $76 \%$ by the Japanese students, $74 \%$ by the Dutch, and so forth. The shaded diagonal elements in each table show how each country performed on the subset of items that it selected based on its own curriculum. Thus, the Hong Kong students themselves averaged $72 \%$ correct responses on the items identified by Hong Kong for the analysis.

[^1]
## Table B． 1 Test－Curriculum Matching Analysis Results－Science－Upper Grade（Fourth Grade＊）

Average Percent Correct Based on Subsets of Items Specially Identified by Each Country as Addressing Its Curriculum（See Table B． 3 for
Instructions：Readacross the row to compare that country＇s performance based on the test items included by each of the countries across the top．
Read down the column under a country name to compare the performance of the country down the left on the items included by the country listed on the top．
Read along the diagonal to compare performance for each different country based on its own decisions about the test items to include．

| Country |  |  | 尔 | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\pi}{\widetilde{O}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{5} \\ & \stackrel{3}{\mathbb{T}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ত్ఞ゙ } \\ & \text { ভ゙ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { त } \\ & \frac{\pi}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \frac{\tilde{\pi}}{\pi} \\ & \mathbb{N} \\ & \mathbf{N} \\ & \mathbf{z} \\ & \mathbf{Z} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{ఫ} \\ & \tilde{N} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 으 } \\ & \text { त } \\ & \underline{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{U} \\ & \text { UU } \\ & \text { U心 } \end{aligned}$ | Non | K K 능 0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | （Number of Score Points Included） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 105 | 25 | 29 | 68 | 80 | 105 | 95 | 52 | 98 | 93 | 53 | 42 | 49 | 27 | 62 | 90 | 45 | 33 | 104 | 94 | 71 | 61 | 84 | 85 | 85 |
| Korea | 74 （0．4） | 77 | 79 | 78 | 74 | 74 | 75 | 78 | 74 | 75 | 76 | 81 | 78 | 83 | 77 | 76 | 81 | 76 | 74 | 73 | 76 | 78 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Japan | 70 （0．3） | 68 | 79 | 74 | 71 | 70 | 70 | 73 | 70 | 71 | 71 | 76 | 72 | 80 | 73 | 72 | 76 | 71 | 70 | 70 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 70 |
| Netherlands | 67 （0．5） | 65 | 70 | 72 | 68 | 67 | 67 | 69 | 68 | 67 | 68 | 74 | 69 | 77 | 72 | 68 | 75 | 69 | 67 | 66 | 70 | 71 | 70 | 68 | 68 |
| Australia | 66 （0．5） | 66 | 67 | 70 | 68 | 66 | 67 | 70 | 67 | 66 | 68 | 73 | 67 | 78 | 70 | 68 | 75 | 67 | 66 | 66 | 69 | 70 | 68 | 67 | 67 |
| United States | 66 （0．5） | 65 | 67 | 70 | 67 | 66 | 66 | 70 | 66 | 66 | 69 | 73 | 67 | 78 | 70 | 67 | 75 | 69 | 66 | 66 | 69 | 69 | 68 | 67 | 67 |
| Czech Republic | 65 （0．5） | 65 | 68 | 70 | 67 | 65 | 66 | 68 | 66 | 65 | 66 | 72 | 68 | 79 | 70 | 68 | 71 | 67 | 65 | 66 | 68 | 69 | 68 | 67 | 66 |
| Singapore | 64 （0．8） | 62 | 70 | 69 | 65 | 64 | 65 | 71 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 74 | 68 | 76 | 68 | 66 | 72 | 65 | 64 | 64 | 67 | 68 | 66 | 66 | 66 |
| Slovenia | 64 （0．7） | 61 | 64 | 68 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 66 | 64 | 64 | 65 | 70 | 65 | 74 | 69 | 66 | 69 | 64 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 66 | 65 | 64 |
| Canada | 64 （0．6） | 64 | 64 | 67 | 65 | 64 | 64 | 66 | 64 | 63 | 64 | 71 | 64 | 76 | 67 | 65 | 71 | 65 | 64 | 63 | 66 | 67 | 65 | 64 | 64 |
| England | 63 （0．6） | 63 | 64 | 67 | 64 | 63 | 63 | 66 | 64 | 63 | 65 | 71 | 65 | 76 | 68 | 65 | 71 | 64 | 63 | 63 | 66 | 67 | 65 | 64 | 64 |
| Hong Kong | 62 （0．7） | 59 | 68 | 66 | 64 | 62 | 63 | 66 | 63 | 62 | 63 | 72 | 65 | 76 | 68 | 64 | 67 | 61 | 62 | 62 | 65 | 65 | 64 | 64 | 63 |
| Hungary | 62 （0．6） | 60 | 62 | 66 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 64 | 63 | 61 | 62 | 69 | 65 | 73 | 67 | 63 | 66 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 65 | 65 | 63 | 63 | 62 |
| Ireland | 61 （0．6） | 60 | 61 | 64 | 62 | 61 | 61 | 64 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 69 | 62 | 74 | 65 | 62 | 69 | 64 | 61 | 61 | 63 | 66 | 63 | 62 | 62 |
| Norway | 60 （0．6） | 58 | 61 | 65 | 61 | 60 | 61 | 63 | 61 | 60 | 61 | 68 | 62 | 71 | 67 | 62 | 68 | 59 | 61 | 61 | 63 | 64 | 63 | 61 | 61 |
| New Zealand | 60 （0．9） | 59 | 60 | 64 | 61 | 60 | 61 | 64 | 61 | 60 | 61 | 67 | 61 | 72 | 64 | 62 | 68 | 61 | 60 | 60 | 63 | 65 | 62 | 61 | 61 |
| Scotland | 60 （0．8） | 59 | 61 | 64 | 61 | 60 | 60 | 64 | 61 | 60 | 62 | 69 | 62 | 73 | 65 | 62 | 69 | 61 | 60 | 60 | 63 | 65 | 62 | 61 | 61 |
| Israel | 57 （0．8） | 56 | 58 | 61 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 61 | 57 | 57 | 59 | 65 | 59 | 69 | 61 | 58 | 65 | 58 | 57 | 57 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 58 | 57 |
| Latvia（LSS） | 56 （0．8） | 55 | 56 | 60 | 57 | 56 | 56 | 59 | 57 | 56 | 56 | 63 | 59 | 66 | 61 | 58 | 62 | 56 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 58 | 58 | 57 |
| Iceland | 55 （0．7） | 55 | 55 | 59 | 56 | 55 | 56 | 59 | 56 | 55 | 56 | 61 | 58 | 65 | 61 | 57 | 64 | 55 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 56 |
| Greece | 54 （0．8） | 53 | 54 | 58 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 58 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 62 | 56 | 65 | 59 | 55 | 62 | 55 | 54 | 54 | 57 | 58 | 56 | 55 | 55 |
| Cyprus | 51 （0．5） | 52 | 52 | 55 | 51 | 51 | 50 | 55 | 51 | 50 | 49 | 59 | 53 | 61 | 56 | 52 | 58 | 53 | 50 | 51 | 53 | 54 | 52 | 52 | 52 |
| Portugal | 50 （0．7） | 50 | 50 | 54 | 51 | 50 | 50 | 53 | 51 | 49 | 50 | 57 | 52 | 59 | 55 | 52 | 57 | 52 | 50 | 50 | 52 | 53 | 53 | 51 | 50 |
| Iran，Islamic Rep． | 40 （0．7） | 38 | 39 | 44 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 45 | 40 | 39 | 38 | 48 | 42 | 48 | 44 | 41 | 44 | 37 | 40 | 40 | 42 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| Kuwait | 39 （0．5） | 42 | 42 | 43 | 39 | 39 | 40 | 43 | 39 | 38 | 37 | 47 | 41 | 48 | 43 | 41 | 45 | 40 | 39 | 39 | 42 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 41 |
| International Average | 60 （0．6） | 59 | 61 | 64 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 63 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 67 | 62 | 71 | 64 | 61 | 67 | 61 | 60 | 60 | 62 | 63 | 61 | 61 | 60 |

[^2]Table B． 2 Test－Curriculum Matching Analysis Results－Science－Lower Grade（Third Grade＊） Average Percent Correct Based on Subsets of Items Specially Identified by Each Country as Addressing Its Curriculum（See
Table B． 4 for corresponding standard errors） Table B． 4 for corresponding standard errors）
Instructions：Readacross the row to compare that country＇s performance based on the test items included by each of the countries across the top．
Read down the column under a country name to compare the performance of the country down the left on the items included by the co

| Country |  | ® ¹ צ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 응 픙 ज | $\begin{aligned} & . \frac{0}{む} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \text { त్w } \\ & \text { む్ } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{U} \\ & \mathbb{Q} \\ & \text { U心 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\overline{0}$ 0 0 0 0 | en |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | （Number of Score Points Included） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 105 | 11 | 17 | 49 | 105 | 34 | 40 | 32 | 64 | 32 | 92 | 34 | 33 | 14 | 68 | 41 | 74 | 49 | 30 | 93 | 84 | 44 | 39 |
| Korea | 67 （0．5） | 66 | 76 | 71 | 67 | 76 | 78 | 74 | 71 | 71 | 67 | 76 | 77 | 83 | 71 | 74 | 68 | 74 | 78 | 67 | 68 | 70 | 69 |
| Japan | 61 （0．3） | 62 | 76 | 67 | 61 | 68 | 74 | 68 | 66 | 65 | 61 | 70 | 66 | 77 | 65 | 66 | 61 | 68 | 69 | 61 | 63 | 64 | 64 |
| Australia | 57 （0．8） | 55 | 60 | 61 | 57 | 62 | 65 | 62 | 61 | 58 | 57 | 65 | 66 | 76 | 59 | 59 | 58 | 64 | 69 | 56 | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| United States | 56 （0．6） | 57 | 60 | 62 | 56 | 62 | 66 | 62 | 61 | 58 | 57 | 66 | 66 | 75 | 58 | 59 | 58 | 66 | 69 | 56 | 58 | 58 | 59 |
| Netherlands | 56 （0．7） | 57 | 60 | 61 | 56 | 66 | 67 | 62 | 60 | 55 | 57 | 67 | 65 | 72 | 58 | 61 | 59 | 66 | 68 | 55 | 58 | 59 | 59 |
| Czech Republic | 55 （0．6） | 52 | 61 | 58 | 55 | 61 | 66 | 60 | 58 | 56 | 55 | 64 | 63 | 74 | 58 | 59 | 56 | 64 | 70 | 55 | 57 | 56 | 59 |
| England | 55 （0．7） | 51 | 57 | 59 | 55 | 61 | 64 | 60 | 58 | 56 | 55 | 64 | 64 | 73 | 57 | 58 | 56 | 63 | 66 | 54 | 56 | 55 | 57 |
| Canada | 53 （0．5） | 52 | 56 | 57 | 53 | 59 | 62 | 58 | 57 | 54 | 53 | 62 | 62 | 70 | 55 | 56 | 55 | 61 | 65 | 53 | 55 | 54 | 55 |
| Singapore | 53 （0．9） | 54 | 65 | 58 | 53 | 61 | 66 | 62 | 58 | 61 | 52 | 65 | 62 | 74 | 56 | 60 | 54 | 61 | 64 | 53 | 55 | 57 | 57 |
| Slovenia | 53 （0．5） | 46 | 55 | 55 | 53 | 58 | 65 | 58 | 56 | 54 | 53 | 62 | 62 | 72 | 54 | 57 | 54 | 62 | 65 | 54 | 55 | 54 | 54 |
| Hong Kong | 53 （0．6） | 53 | 59 | 57 | 53 | 59 | 64 | 60 | 57 | 54 | 52 | 63 | 61 | 74 | 56 | 56 | 54 | 61 | 62 | 52 | 54 | 52 | 57 |
| Scotland | 51 （0．7） | 48 | 55 | 56 | 51 | 58 | 62 | 57 | 55 | 53 | 52 | 61 | 61 | 71 | 53 | 56 | 53 | 60 | 64 | 51 | 53 | 53 | 54 |
| Ireland | 51 （0．7） | 47 | 54 | 56 | 51 | 58 | 62 | 57 | 56 | 54 | 51 | 62 | 62 | 72 | 53 | 55 | 53 | 60 | 64 | 51 | 53 | 52 | 54 |
| New Zealand | 51 （0．9） | 51 | 54 | 54 | 51 | 57 | 61 | 56 | 54 | 53 | 51 | 60 | 60 | 69 | 53 | 55 | 52 | 58 | 63 | 50 | 52 | 53 | 53 |
| Hungary | 50 （0．8） | 44 | 55 | 52 | 50 | 55 | 61 | 55 | 53 | 52 | 51 | 61 | 57 | 67 | 52 | 55 | 50 | 58 | 65 | 50 | 51 | 52 | 53 |
| Latvia（LSS） | 48 （0．9） | 43 | 50 | 50 | 48 | 54 | 60 | 53 | 51 | 51 | 49 | 58 | 56 | 64 | 51 | 54 | 50 | 57 | 62 | 49 | 51 | 52 | 52 |
| Norway | 46 （0．7） | 41 | 48 | 49 | 46 | 50 | 56 | 49 | 49 | 45 | 46 | 55 | 55 | 61 | 48 | 49 | 48 | 56 | 60 | 46 | 48 | 48 | 49 |
| Greece | 44 （0．7） | 35 | 43 | 46 | 44 | 49 | 54 | 48 | 49 | 45 | 45 | 55 | 56 | 63 | 46 | 49 | 46 | 54 | 59 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 45 |
| Iceland | 42 （0．6） | 33 | 40 | 44 | 42 | 45 | 51 | 45 | 46 | 42 | 42 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 44 | 46 | 44 | 52 | 56 | 43 | 44 | 42 | 44 |
| Portugal | 41 （0．8） | 31 | 45 | 43 | 41 | 45 | 51 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 41 | 51 | 48 | 55 | 43 | 45 | 43 | 50 | 53 | 41 | 43 | 44 | 44 |
| Cyprus | 39 （0．5） | 33 | 43 | 41 | 39 | 45 | 52 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 39 | 50 | 47 | 57 | 42 | 43 | 41 | 48 | 52 | 40 | 41 | 44 | 40 |
| Iran，Islamic Rep． | 30 （0．7） | 23 | 33 | 31 | 30 | 31 | 39 | 31 | 33 | 33 | 30 | 40 | 33 | 42 | 32 | 33 | 31 | 36 | 39 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 34 |
| International Average | 51 （0．7） | 47 | 55 | 54 | 51 | 56 | 61 | 56 | 54 | 53 | 51 | 60 | 59 | 68 | 53 | 55 | 52 | 59 | 63 | 51 | 52 | 53 | 53 |

[^3]The international averages of each country's selected items presented across the last row of the tables show that the selection of items for the participating countries varied somewhat in average difficulty, ranging from $59 \%$ to $71 \%$ at the fourth grade and from $47 \%$ to $61 \%$ at third grade. Despite these differences, the overall picture provided by Tables B. 1 and B. 2 reveals that different item selections do not make a major difference in how well countries perform relative to each other. The items selected by some countries were more difficult than those selected by others. The relative performance of countries on the various item selections did vary somewhat, but generally not in a statistically significant manner. ${ }^{5}$

Comparing the diagonal element for a country with the overall average percentage correct shows the difference between performance on this subset of items and performance on the test as a whole. In general, there were small increases in each country's performance on its own subset of items. To illustrate, the average percent correct for fourth-grade students in Korea was $74 \%$. The diagonal element shows that Korean students had about the same average percent correct ( $77 \%$ ) on the smaller set of items selected as relevant to the curriculum in Korea as they did overall. In the fourth grade, most countries had a difference of less than 5 percentage points between the two performance measures, with the largest difference of $13 \%$ for Ireland ( $74 \%$ compared to $61 \%$ ). Performance differences between the entire TIMSS test and the subset of items selected for the TCMA were, in general, somewhat larger for thirdgrade students; several countries had an average performance that was 10 percentage points or more higher on the subsets of items selected for their own students - Japan, the Netherlands, the Czech Republic, Hong Kong, Scotland, Ireland, Norway, and Greece.

It is clear that the selection of items does not have a major effect on the general relationship among countries. Countries that had substantially higher or lower performance on the overall test in comparison to each other also had higher or lower relative performance on the different sets of items selected for the TCMA. For example, at the fourth grade, Korea had the highest average percent correct on the test as a whole and on all of the item selections, with Japan, the Netherlands, and Australia among the four highest-performing countries in almost all cases. Although there are some changes in the ordering of countries based on the items selected for the TCMA, most of these differences are within the boundaries of sampling error.

As the most extreme example, consider the 27 score points selected by Ireland for the fourth grade. The Irish students did substantially better on these items than on the test as a whole, with $74 \%$ correct responses to these items, on average, compared to $61 \%$ average correct on the items on the test as a whole. However, all other countries also did better on these particular items, with an international average of $71 \%$ for the items selected by Ireland compared with $60 \%$ on the test as a whole. Insofar as countries

[^4]rejected items that would be difficult for their own students, these items tended to be difficult for students in other countries as well. The analysis shows that omitting such items tends to improve the results for that country, but also tends to improve the results for all other countries, so that the relative standing of countries is largely unaffected.
Table B． 3 Standard Errors for the Test－Curriculum Matching Analysis Results－Science－Upper Grade（Fourth Grade＊）
See Table B． 1 for the Test－Curriculum Matching Analysis Results
Instructions：Read across the row for the standard error for the score based on the test items included by each of the countries across the top．
Read down the column under a country name for the standard error for the score of the country down the left on the items included by the country listed on the top．

| ！емпу <br> ＇dəy ग！we｜s｜＇ue．｜ <br> ןебпйоd <br> snadKo | $\infty$ $\infty$ $\infty$ ¢ ¢ ¢ | ナ ナ ค ค م 00000 <br>  00000 <br> ナ ल ம ம ம 00000 <br> －М ம ம ம $00^{\circ} 0$ | คの へ • • $00^{\circ} 0$ <br> $\omega \infty$ 人 $\omega$ 00000 <br> $\infty \infty \wedge \bullet \bullet$ 00000 <br>  $00^{\circ} 0$ | ヘ 0000 00000 <br> 人 $0 \cdot 60$ 00000 <br> ヘ・•00 0 $0^{\circ} 000$ <br> －人 0 O． $0000-$ | $\infty \infty \infty$ 人 $00^{\circ} 00^{\circ}$ <br> $\infty \infty$ の $00^{\circ} 00^{\circ}$ <br> $\infty \infty, \infty$ o o o o <br> $\infty \infty \infty \infty$ $00^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ | に へ へ • $\circ 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ <br> م $0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ <br> $\omega \infty$ N $0^{\circ} 00^{\circ}$ <br> $\omega \infty$ N $\quad$ $0000$ | 0 <br> 0 <br> $\sim$ <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $$ | ナ ๓ ம ம ம 00000 <br> ナ ナ ம ம ம 00000 <br> ォ חִ 00000 <br>  00000 <br>  00000 | ம $\infty$ ம 00000 <br> $\omega_{\infty} \infty \bullet \bullet$ 00000 <br>  00000 <br> $\varphi$ ก 0 ○ 00000 <br>  $00^{\circ} 00^{\circ}$ | ヘ 060 の $0^{\circ} 000$ <br>  $0^{\circ} 000$ <br> ค $0 \cdot 0$ • $0^{\circ} 00^{\circ}$ <br> へ $\bullet_{0}{ }^{\circ}$ $0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ <br> へへ へ <br> 0000 － | $\infty \infty \infty \infty$ $0^{\circ} 0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ <br> $\infty \infty \quad \infty$ ，$\infty$ $00^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ <br> $\infty \quad \infty \quad \infty$ ，$\infty$ <br> $0^{\circ} 00^{\circ}$ <br> $\infty \infty \square \infty$ <br> $00^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ <br> $00_{0}^{\infty} \propto \infty$ <br> $0-000$ |  | 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br>  <br>  <br>  |
| puejeəZ мәN <br> Kemion <br> pue｜리 <br> イлебипн <br> биоу бион |  | ナ ツ م ம ம 00000 <br> ォ M ח 00000 <br> ォ 丈 • ب 00000 <br> ォ $\forall$ م 0 00000 <br> ォ． 00000 | $\omega_{\infty} \infty$ ค $\bullet$ 00000 م 00000 $\varphi \infty \infty \quad \bullet$ 00000 に $00^{\circ} 0$ ค $00^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ | へ $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ $0^{\circ} 00^{\circ}$ <br> $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ 00000 <br> －N N N O $00^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ <br> へ N N $0000-$ <br> $\begin{array}{llll}0 & \hat{0} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0\end{array}$ | $\infty \infty \infty$ 00000 <br> $\infty \infty$ の 00000 <br> $\infty \square \square \infty \square$ 00000 <br> $\infty \square \infty \infty \square$ <br> $\circ 0^{\circ} 00$ <br> $\infty \square \infty \infty$ <br> 00000 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { pueן6uヨ } \\ \text { epeueэ } \\ \text { е!иәло৷S } \\ \text { әлоdeбu!s } \\ \text { ग!!qndәy पэəzэ } \end{array}$ |  |  $00^{\circ} 0$ <br> －м ம ம ம 0000 <br> ナ ๓ ம ம ம 00000 <br>  00000 <br>  | $\bullet の \wedge \bullet$ N $00^{\circ} 0$ <br>  00000 <br> に 00000 <br>  00000 <br>  $00^{\circ} 0$ |  $0000-$ <br> ヘ $0 \cdot 60$ $0^{\circ} 000$ <br>  0000 <br> へ N N N $\circ 0^{\circ} 0-$ <br> ヘ $0 \bullet \bullet \bullet$ $0^{\circ} 00^{\circ}$ |  |  | N 0 0 0 0 0 0 $N$ 0 0 0 0 |
| sәłels pəı！un <br> е！ерияпи <br> sриецдцІәл <br> ueder <br> еәлоу |  | －๓レー ம ம 00000 <br> ォ．$\ddagger$ 00000 <br>  00000 <br> に サ ம $\bullet$ 00000 <br>  00000 | $\circ \infty \wedge \bullet \bullet$ 00000 <br>  00000 <br>  00000 <br> $\wedge \sigma \infty \wedge \infty$ 00000 <br> へ $0 \infty \wedge \infty$ <br> $00^{\circ} 0$ | ヘ 0 • 0 の 00000 <br> 人 $0 \cdot 6$ 00000 <br> 人 $\varphi \bullet \bullet$ 00000 <br> $\infty \infty$ N 0000 － <br> $\infty \infty$ 人 가 0000 － | $\infty \infty \infty$ N $00^{\circ} 0$ <br> $\infty \infty \infty$ 00000 <br> $\infty \infty \infty$ 0000 <br> 욷ㅇㅇㅇ <br>  <br> $0-00$－ |  | 0 0 0 0 0 $\sim$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| sшәә IIе ио јоәиоэ диәэләд әБеләлヲ |  |  | にがた。 <br>  <br>  | た லー 〇 웅ㅇ <br>  |  <br>  <br>  |  | － |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]Table B. 4 Standard Errors for the Test-Curriculum Matching Analysis Results - Science - Lower Grade (Third Grade*)
See Table B. 3 for the Test-Curriculum Matching Analysis Results
Instructions: Read across the row for the standard error for the score based on the test items included by each of the countries across the top.
Read down the column under a country name for the standard error for the score of the country down the left on the items included by the country listed on the top


[^6]
[^0]:    See Appendix A for more information on the test development.
    ${ }^{2}$ Because there also may be curriculum areas covered in some countries that are not covered by the TIMSS tests, the TCMA does not provide complete information about how well the TIMSS tests cover the curricula of the countries.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Of the 97 items in the test, some items were assigned more score points than others. In particular, some items had two parts, and some extended-response items were scored on a two-point scale. The total number of score points available for analysis was 105. The TCMA uses the score points in order to give the same weight to items that they received in the test scoring.
    ${ }^{4}$ It should be noted that the performance levels presented in Tables B. 1 and B. 2 are based on the average percent correct as was done in Chapter 2, which is different from the average scale scores that were presented in Chapter 1. The cost and delay of scaling would have been prohibitive for the TCMA analyses.

[^2]:    ＊Fourth grade in most countries；see Table 2 for more information about the grades tested in each country．
    ＊＊Of the 97 items in the science test，some items had two parts and some extended－response items were scored on a two－point scale，resulting in 105 total score points． （ ）Standard errors for the average percent of correct responses on all items appear in parentheses

    Countries shown in italics did not satisfy one or more guidelines for sample participation rates，age／grade specifications，or classroom sampling procedures（see Figure A． 3 for details）． Because population coverage falls below $65 \%$ Latvia is annotated LSS for Latvian Speaking Schools only．

[^3]:    ＊Third grade in most countries；see Table 2 for more information about the grades tested in each country．
    ＊＊Of the 97 items in the science test，some items had two parts and some extended－response items were scored on a two－scale，resulting in 105 total score points．
    （）Standard errors for the average percent of correct responses on all items appear in parentheses．Standard errors for scores based on subsets of items are provided in Table B．4． Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number，some totals may appear inconsistent．

    Countries shown in italics did not satisfy one or more guidelines for sample participation rates，age／grade specifications，or classroom sampling procedures（see Figure A． 3 for details）． Because population coverage falls below $65 \%$ Latvia is annotated LSS for Latvian Speaking Schools only．

    SOURCE：IEA Third International Mathematics and Science Study（TIMSS），1994－95．

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Small differences in performance in these tables are not statistically significant. The standard errors for the estimated average percent correct statistics can found in Tables B. 3 and B.4. We can say with $95 \%$ confidence that the value for the entire population will fall between the sample estimate plus or minus two standard errors.

[^5]:    ${ }^{*}$ Fourth grade in most countries；see Table 2 for more information about the grades tested in each country．
    ${ }^{* *}$ Of the 97 items in the science test，some items had two parts and some extended－response items were scored on a two－point scale，resulting in 105 total score points．
    （ ）Standard errors for the average percent of correct responses on all items appear in parentheses．The matrix contains standard errors corresponding to the average percent of correct
    responses based on TCMA subsets of items，as displayed in Table B．1．Because results are rounded to the nearest whole number，some totals may appear inconsistent．
    Countries shown in italics did not satisfy one or more guidelines for sample participation rates，age／grade specifications，or classroom sampling procedures（see Figure A． 3 for details）． Because population coverage falls below $65 \%$ Latvia is annotated LSS for Latvian Speaking Schools only．
    SOURCE：IEA Third International Mathematics and Science Study（TIMSS），1994－95．

[^6]:    *Third grade in most countries; see Table 2 for more information about the grades tested in each country.
    **Of the 97 items in the science test, some items had two parts and some extended-response items were scored on a two-point scale, resulting in 105 total score points () Standard errors for the average percent of correct responses on all items appear in parentheses. The matrix contains standard errors corresponding to the average percent of ( Because population coverage falls below $65 \%$ Latvia is annotated LSS for Latvian Speaking Schools only.

    SOURCE: IEA Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), 1994-95.

